

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/396,565	09/15/1999	JIN LU	PHA-23.775	7621	
24737 7590 12/02/2003 PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS			. EXAMINER		
			MAHMOUDI, HASSAN		
P.O. BOX 3001 BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2175	Ø	
			DATE MAILED: 12/02/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/396,565	LU, JIN
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Tony Mahmoudi	2175
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replevable in the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). - Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. DD (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	nis action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the application	1.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	•
Application Papers		
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	pted or b)⊡ objected to by the Ex a	miner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved b)□ disappr	oved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	ply to this Office action.	
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received in Applicat	ion No
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prical control control copies of the prical copies of the pr	ıreau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
 a) The translation of the foreign language present 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes 		0 and/or 121. DOV POPOVICI
Attachment(s)	_	SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Papel NG(s) CENTER 2100 Patent Application (PTO-152)
S. Patent and Trademark Office		

Art Unit: 2175

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

- 1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it contains more than 150 words. The abstract should be edited to contain no more than 150 words. Correction is required.
- 2. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "aid," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details. See MPEP §608.01(b).

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc. See MPEP §608.01(b).

3. The arrangement of the disclosed application does not conform with 37 CFR 1.77(b).

Section headings throughout the disclosed specification are boldfaced and underlined.

Section headings should not be <u>underlined</u> and/or **boldfaced**. Appropriate corrections are required according to the guidelines provided below:

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/396,565

Art Unit: 2175

4. The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC (See 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5) and MPEP 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text are permitted to be submitted on compact discs.) or

REFERENCE TO A "MICROFICHE APPENDIX" (See MPEP § 608.05(a).

"Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.)

- (e) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
 - (1) Field of the Invention.
 - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (f) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (g) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (h) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (i) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (i) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2175

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by <u>Mankovitz</u> (U.S. Patent No. 5,949,492.)

As to claim 1, <u>Mankovitz</u> teaches an apparatus for use in conjunction with a host device having a receptacle associated therewith (see Abstract), the apparatus comprising:

a removable card adaptable for insertion into the receptacle of the host device (see column 15, lines 63-67, and see figure 69), the removable card including processor (see figure 69) for running at least one application (see column 44, lines 53-66), and wherein an agent program is downloadable from the removable card to the host device (see column 45, lines 3-28), such that the agent program runs on a processor of the hose device and controls communication between the application running on the processor of the removable card and an application running on the processor of the host device (see column 46, line 59 through column 47, line 7.)

Art Unit: 2175

As to claims 2 and 13, <u>Mankovitz</u> teaches wherein the processor of the removable card runs a plurality of applications, and further wherein a plurality of agent programs are downloaded to the host device, one for each of the applications running on the processor of the removable card (see column 3, lines 58-63.)

As to claims 3 and 14, <u>Mankovitz</u> teaches wherein the processor of the removable card runs a plurality of applications (see column 3, lines 58-63), and the agent program controls communication between two or more of the applications and at least one application running on the processor of the host device (see column 46, line 59 through column 47, line 7.)

As to claims 4 and 15, <u>Mankovitz</u> teaches wherein the agent program interacts with an application programming interface (API) of the host device (see column 28, lines 13-48.)

As to claims 5 and 16, Mankovitz teaches wherein the agent program controls communication between the application running on the processor of the removable card and each of a plurality of applications running on the processor of the host device (see column 46, line 59 through column 47, line 7.)

As to claims 6 and 17, <u>Mankovitz</u> teaches wherein communications between the agent program and the application running on the removable card are at least partially encrypted (see column 18, lines 37-65, and see column 24, lines 22-31, where "encrypted" is read on "encoded".)

Art Unit: 2175

As to claims 7 and 18, <u>Mankovitz</u> teaches wherein after insertion of the removable card into the receptacle of the host device, a command channel and a data channel are created between the removable card and the host device (see column 50, lines 9-21.)

As to claims 8 and 19, <u>Mankovitz</u> teaches wherein the processor of the host device runs an agent manager program which receives a message from the application running on the processor of the removable card, the message identifying a particular agent program to be downloaded (see column 13, lines 49-59), and in response to the message downloads the agent program from a memory of the removable card via the data channel (see column 15, lines 14-22.)

As to claims 9 and 20, <u>Mankovitz</u> teaches wherein the agent program after being downloaded to the host device sends a message (see column 9, lines 58-61) to the application running on the processor of the removable card via the command channel, the message indicating that the agent program is ready to control communication between the application running on the processor of the removable card and the application running on the processor of the host device (see column 16, lines 42-44.)

As to claims 10 and 21, <u>Mankovitz</u> teaches wherein the host device comprises a digital television receiver (see column 11, lines 1-12, where "receiver" is read on "tuner"), and the

Art Unit: 2175

application running on the processor of the removable card includes a processing operation for a transport stream (see column 8, lines 25-53.)

As to claims 11 and 22, <u>Mankovitz</u> teaches wherein the processing operation comprises a decryption operation (see column 13, lines 56-59, where "decryption" is read on "decoded".)

As to claim 12, Mankovitz teaches a method for use in conjunction with a host device having a receptacle associated therewith (see Abstract), the method comprising the step of: adapting a removable card for insertion into the receptacle of the host device (see column 15, lines 63-67, and see figure 69), the removable card including a processor (see figure 69) for running at least one application (see column 44, lines 53-66), and wherein an agent program is downloadable from the removable card to the host device (see column 45, lines 3-28), such that the agent program runs on a processor of the host device and controls communication between the application running on the processor of the removable card and an application running on the processor of the host device (see column 46, line 59 through column 47, line 7.)

As to claim 23, <u>Mankovitz</u> teaches an article of manufacture comprising a machine-readable storage medium containing one or more software programs (see column 8, lines 54-64) which when executed implement (for the remaining steps of this claim, the applicant is kindly directed to remarks and discussions made in claims 1 and 12 above.)

Art Unit: 2175

As to claim 24, <u>Mankovitz</u> teaches an apparatus for use in conjunction with a removable card (see Abstract), the apparatus comprising (for the remaining steps of this claim, the applicant is kindly directed to remarks and discussions made in claim 1 above.)

As to claim 25, <u>Mankovitz</u> teaches a method for use in conjunction with a removable card (see Abstract), the method comprising (for the remaining steps of this claim, the applicant is kindly directed to remarks and discussions made in claim 12 above.)

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The following patents are cited to further show the state of art with respect to methods and systems of communications involving removable cards for processing multimedia data in general:

Patent/Pub. No.	Issued to	Cited for teaching
US 2003/0115293	Fogg et al.	System and method of content delivery.
US 2002/0002069 Keronen et al. User progra		User programmable Smart card interface system.

Art Unit: 2175

8. Any inquiries concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tony Mahmoudi whose telephone number is (703) 305-4887. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays-Fridays from 08:00 am to 04:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dov Popovici, can be reached at (703) 305-3830.

tm

November 7, 2003

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100